

Message

From: Johnson, MaryC [Johnson.MaryC@epa.gov]
Sent: 4/5/2021 7:25:40 PM
To: Adams, Glenn [Adams.Glenn@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Is Randall aware that

Great - I got the invite - thanks much

From: Adams, Glenn <Adams.Glenn@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 1:46 PM
To: Johnson, MaryC <Johnson.MaryC@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Is Randall aware that

Cathy is going to find a time this week (probably Thurs or Fri) for us to talk.
Thanks,
Glenn

From: Johnson, MaryC <Johnson.MaryC@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 1:08 PM
To: Adams, Glenn <Adams.Glenn@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Is Randall aware that

Glenn

Martha forwarded your email below.

You had mentioned that we might find some time this week to catch up about ORR.

If we could have a call w/ you, Cathy, Martha and me that would be great (Connie too if possible)?

Thanks
Mary

From: Brock, Martha <Brock.Martha@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 12:18 PM
To: Johnson, MaryC <Johnson.MaryC@epa.gov>; Buxbaum, David <Buxbaum.David@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Is Randall aware that

From: Brock, Martha
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 12:17 PM
To: Adams, Glenn <Adams.Glenn@epa.gov>
Cc: Amoroso, Cathy <Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Is Randall aware that

My understanding (maybe I'm not fully understanding it) is that there is a problem calculating number based on different interpretations of the Decision, and use of dilution.

So if the RA and DRA don't know that, isn't that a problem?

From: Adams, Glenn <Adams.Glenn@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 12:14 PM
To: Brock, Martha <Brock.Martha@epa.gov>
Cc: Amoroso, Cathy <Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Is Randall aware that

Not exactly sure what is requested? The RA and DRA met with TDEC and DOE-ORR recently but I have not been updated by them, maybe your mgmt has. There is a team of SEMD staff working with TDEC and ORR staff on addressing the Administrators decision and a proposal back to the EIT is still pending.
Glenn

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 5, 2021, at 10:59 AM, Brock, Martha <Brock.Martha@epa.gov> wrote:

Thank you!

From: Amoroso, Cathy <Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 10:59 AM
To: Brock, Martha <Brock.Martha@epa.gov>; Adams, Glenn <Adams.Glenn@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Is Randall aware that

Forwarding to Glenn to chime in. I haven't talked to Randall directly, but I have emailed Randall and Carol to let them know the project team is grappling with a "dilution" issue.

Cathy Amoroso, Chief
Restoration & DOE Coordination Section
Superfund & Emergency Management Division
U.S. EPA, Region 4

On Apr 5, 2021, at 10:50 AM, Brock, Martha <Brock.Martha@epa.gov> wrote:

There is a problem of interpretation of the Admin Decision?

Martha Brock
Senior Attorney, Federal Facilities; EPA Region 4
61 Forsyth St., SW; Atlanta, GA 30303
Ph: (404) 562-9546
Work Cell: (470) 512-7133

If the agency's interpretation deviates from prior policy, the agency must provide a reasoned basis for the change. *Encino Motorcars, LLC v. Navarro*, 136 S. Ct. 2117, 2125–26 (2016).

